Sparsity-Aware Bayesian Inference and Its Applications

Chandra R. Murthy Dept. of ECE Indian Institute of Science

cmurthy@iisc.ac.in





Outline

- Sparse Bayesian Learning
- Joint-sparse recovery, guarantees, extns.
- Application to wireless communications
- Role of sparsity in linear dynamical systems
- Bayesian inference via deep unfolding

Showing 1-25 of 2,831 resul	ts for sparse bayesian ×		
Conferences (1,737)	□ Journals (1,034)	□ Early Access Articles (39)	Magazines (16)
Books (5)			

Sun Jun 4, 8:30am-12:00pm

• Rethinking Sparsity-Aware Bayesian Learning for Signal Processing and Machine Learning

Presenters

Feng Yin (The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen), Lei Cheng (Zhejiang University), Sergios Theodoridis (National and Kapodistrian University of Athens)

Part 1: Sparse Bayesian Learning



Use a continuum of priors and pick the best one!



Challenge: m < N, usually m << N</p>

Sparse Bayesian Learning



Parameterized Gaussian prior:

$$p(x_i; \gamma_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\gamma_i}} \exp\left(-\frac{x_i^2}{2\gamma_i}\right), \ \gamma_i \ge 0$$

The EM-SBL Algorithm

- 1. Initialize $\Gamma = I$
- 2. Compute $\Sigma = \left(\sigma^{-2}\Phi^{T}\Phi + \left(\Gamma^{(t)}\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{compute po}\\ \text{distribution} \end{array}$ $\mu = \sigma^{-2} \Sigma \Phi^T \mathbf{v}$

Compute posterior

3. Update $\Gamma^{(t+1)} = \operatorname{diag} \left(\mu_i^2 + \Sigma_{ii} \right)$

Update hyperparameters γ_i via type-II ML

- 4. Repeat steps 2 and 3
- 5. Output µ after convergence

Empirical Example

- Generate random 50 x 100 matrix A
- Generate sparse vector x_o
- Compute y = Axo
- Solve for x_o, average over 1000 trials
- Repeat for different sparsity values



Highly scaled nonzero entries

Joint Sparse Recovery

Observation model



Let k = number of nonzero rows in X.

Want to recover X or support(X) from the Multiple Measurement Vectors (MMVs) Y

Support Recovery is also Important

Wideband spectrum sensing





Subspace filtering by projecting to common signal subspace



MSBL-Sparse Bayesian Learning using MMVs

• Observation model: $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{W}$

Correlation-aware prior: x_j ^{i.i.d.} N(0, Γ), Γ = diag(γ)
 Common Γ enforces same support in columns of X.
 Gaussian MMVs:

$$\mathbf{y}_j \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{\Phi}^T)$$

- M-SBL algorithm: $\hat{\gamma} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^n_+} \log p(\mathbf{Y}; \gamma)$
 - Non-convex objective
 - Solved via Expectation Maximization (EM)
 - Estimated support = support($\hat{\gamma}$).

The EM-MSBL ALgo

E Step: $\Sigma_{j}^{k+1} = \Gamma^{k} - \Gamma^{k} \Phi_{j}^{T} \left(\sigma_{j}^{2} \mathbf{I}_{M} + \Phi_{j} \Gamma^{k} \Phi_{j}^{T}\right)^{-1} \Phi_{j} \Gamma^{k}$

 $\mu_i^{k+1} = \sigma_i^{-2} \Sigma_i^{k+1} \Phi_i^T \mathbf{y}_j$

 M Step: $\gamma^{k+1}(i) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{j=1}^{L} \mu_j^{k+1}(i)^2 + \sum_j^{k+1}(i,i)$ Average of the individual estimates
 of Yi across measurements

Performance of MSBL



Part 2: Performance Guarantees



Sufficient conditions for support recovery by M-SBL

Sufficient Conditions for Support Recovery in SBL

Single measurement vector (L = 1)
Noiseless observations
Result: SBL correctly recovers the support for all 1 ≤ k < spark(Φ) - 1
spark: smallest num. of lin. dep. cols
Usually, in CS, spark(Φ) = m + 1
For l1 recovery, 1 ≤ k ≤ 0(m / log N)

[Chen and Huo, 06]

First Support Recovery Guarantee for M-SBL

• Common sensing matrix $\Phi \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1/m)$ • M-SBL recovers the true support with vanishing probability of error, provided $m = \Theta(k \log N)$ and $L = \Omega\left(\frac{N}{k} \log N + N \log k + N \log \log N\right)$

• Or

 $m = \Theta(\sqrt{k}\log N) \text{ and } L = \Omega\left(\frac{N}{\sqrt{k}}\log N + N\sqrt{k}\log k + N\sqrt{k}\log\log N\right)$

S. Khanna and M., T-IT Nov. 2022

Second Support Recovery Guarantee for M-SBL

Sensing matrix $\Phi_i \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1/m), i = 1, 2, \dots, L$ • For $(\log k)^2 \le m < k/2$ and $1 \le k \le N-1$, the sample complexity for successful support recovery is L $L = \Theta\left(\frac{k^2}{m^2}\log k(N-k)\right)$ $rac{k^2}{m^2}\log N$ In fact, this bound can be $\frac{k}{m} \log N$ achieved using a very SIMPLE algorithm! 1γ k/mL. Ramesh, M., and H. Tyagi, T–IT Dec. 2021

Simple Algorithm

Observations y_i = Φ_ix_i + w_i, i = 1, 2, ..., L
 Compute the diagonal entries of the "pseudo" covariance matrix

$$\frac{1}{L}\sum_{j=1}^{L}\Phi_{j}^{T}\mathbf{y}_{j}\mathbf{y}_{j}^{T}\Phi_{j}$$

Declare the indices corresp. top k diagonal entries as the support!

Part 3: New Algorithms



Covariance matching is the key!

New Interpretation of M-SBL Cost Function

M-SBL cost:

$$-\log p(\mathbf{Y}; \gamma) = -\sum_{j=1}^{L} \log \mathcal{N} \left(\mathbf{y}_{j}; 0, \sigma^{2} \mathbf{I}_{m} + \mathbf{\Phi} \Gamma \mathbf{\Phi}^{T} \right)$$
$$\propto \log |\sigma^{2} \mathbf{I}_{m} + \mathbf{\Phi} \Gamma \mathbf{\Phi}^{T}| + \operatorname{tr} \left(\left(\sigma^{2} \mathbf{I}_{m} + \mathbf{\Phi} \Gamma \mathbf{\Phi}^{T} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{L} \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{Y}^{T} \right) \right)$$
$$\propto \mathcal{D}_{-\log \det}^{\operatorname{Bregman}} \left(\frac{1}{L} \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{Y}^{T}, \sigma^{2} \mathbf{I}_{m} + \mathbf{\Phi} \Gamma \mathbf{\Phi}^{T} \right) + \operatorname{const. terms}$$

 Motivates covariance matching based approaches to sparse recovery

Covariance Matching Framework

 \widetilde{m}

• Observation
Model:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{W}$$

• Principle:
 $\hat{\gamma} = \arg\min_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^n_+} \operatorname{dist}\left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{L}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^T}_{L}, \sigma^2\mathbf{I}_m + \mathbf{\Phi}\Gamma\mathbf{\Phi}^T\right)$
Empirical covariance matrix

Support estimate = Support(
$$\hat{\gamma}$$
)

Algorithms

Approach 1

- Distance = Frobenius norm
- Algorithm = CoLASSO [Pal, Vaidyanathan, 15]

Approach 2

- Distance = Log-Det Bregman Divergence
- Algorithm = M-SBL [Wipf & Rao, 07]

Approach 3

- Distance = α -Rényi Divergence
- Algorithm = Rényi Divergence based Covariance Matching Pursuit (RD-CMP) [Khanna & M., 17]

Performance

 \overline{x}

MSBL

Co-LASSO





RD-CMP

SNR = 10 dB; n = 200; L = 200

SNR = 10 dB; k = 50 log n m = 0.75 k, mL = 50 k log n

RD-CMP is a fast covariance matching based MMV solver! [Khanna & M., 17]



Dictionary Learning

 \mathfrak{M}

Matrix factorization problem:



SBL framework for DL

- Type-II ML: solve $\max_{\mathbf{\Lambda} = \{ \mathbf{\Phi}, \mathbf{\Gamma} \}} \log p(\mathbf{Y}; \mathbf{\Lambda})$
- EM procedure:
 - E-step: update statistics of X, as before
 - M-step: separable in variables Φ, Γ
 - Closed-form update for Γ
 - Non-convex in Φ
 - Alternating minimization (AM):
 update one column of Φ at a time

Image Denoising Example \widetilde{m}



(a) Original image



(b) Corrupted image, PSNR = 20 dB



(c) DL-SBL, PSNR = 28.96 dB. run time = 105.7 s



(f) KSVD, PSNR = 28.34 dB, run time = 76.7 s



(g) SGK, PSNR = 27.44 dB. run time = 82.5 s



run time = 84.5 s



(i) MOD, PSNR = 27.42 dB. run time = 79.2 s



- Goal: remove AWGN
- Learn dictionary using 1000 8 x 8 blocks, randomly chosen
- N = 256
- Learn dictionary
- Reconstruct image using OMP

[G. Joseph and M., TSP 2020]



(d) SimCO, PSNR = 28.64 dB. run time = 58.7 s





(e) DL-MM, PSNR = 28.54 dB.

(h) PAU, PSNR = 27.44 dB.

DL-SBL Guarantees

- Cost function converges, iterates converge to stationary points
- Global minimum of the DL-SBL cost function occurs at the desired soln., sparse local minima
- FIRST convergence guarantee for DL algorithms!

[Joseph & M., TSP 2020]

Part 4: From Compressed Sensing to Control Theory



Linear dynamical systems

Applications



Sparse initial state





Diffusion processes

Epidemic spreading



Fake news spreading



Sparsity and Linear Dynamical Systems

System Model:
$$\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{k-1} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}_k$$

 $\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{C}_{(k)}\mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{w}_k$

- Goal: observe, control, stabilize linear dynamical systems under sparsity constraints
 - Some examples:
 - With known inputs: recover sparse initial state from observations
 - With unknown sparse inputs: recover state and inputs from observations
 - Design sparse inputs to reach a desired state

Sparse Initial State: Observability

- Recovery problem: $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_0 \\ \mathbf{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{y}_{K-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{(0)} \\ \mathbf{C}_{(1)}\mathbf{A} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{C}_{(K-1)}\mathbf{A}^{K-1} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_0$
- Recoverability depends on RIC of the "effective" measurement matrix
- Sufficient number of measurements:
 - Independent, iid $C_{(k)}: Km \sim s \log(N/s)$
 - Single $C_{(k)}$ with iid entries: $Km \sim s \log^2 s \log^2 N$
 - Matrix A "well conditioned"

[Joseph & M., SPL 2018, TSP 2019]

Sparse Controllability

Problem: find sparse \mathbf{u}_k s.t. $\mathbf{x}_{\text{final}} - \mathbf{A}^{K} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}_{\text{init}} = \left[(\mathbf{A}^{K-1} \mathbf{B}) (\mathbf{A}^{K-2} \mathbf{B}) \dots (\mathbf{B}) \right] \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{2} \\ \vdots \end{vmatrix}$

- Necessary and sufficient conditions for s-sparse controllability: • For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, Rank{ $[A - \lambda I \ B]$ } = N • $s \ge N - Rank(A)$
- No more than N sparse inputs needed to steer the system to a desired state [Joseph & M., TAC 2021]

Design of Sparse Control Inputs

- Time-varying support:
 - Piecewise OMP
 - Piecewise inverse scale-space algo
- Fixed support: Reformulate as a blocksparse recovery problem. Many options!
 - BLOCK OMP
 - Group LASSO
 - Block SBL, ...

Joint Recovery of State and Sparse Inputs

Problem: recover $\{\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{u}_k : \|\mathbf{u}_k\|_0 \ll n\}_{k=1}^K$ from $\{\mathbf{y}_k\}_{k=1}^K$, with $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}_k \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{u}_k + \mathbf{w}_k$ $\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{C}_k \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{D}_k \mathbf{u}_k + \mathbf{v}_k$



(a) NMSE in state estimation

(b) NMSE in input estimation

Open Issues

- Handling energy + sparsity constraints in the control of LDS
- Better algorithms for
 - state recovery under sparsity constraints
 - designing sparse inputs
 - system identification, e.g., using active learning
- Theoretical guarantees
- NEW APPLICATIONS!

Part 5: Deep Unfolding

Learn any underlying structure, without hand-crafting priors, cost functions, or developing new algorithms!

Other Sparse Structures

 x_1 x_2

 χ_g

Any additional structure, when present, is important to model & exploit



- Group sparsity
- Piecewise sparsity
- Inclusion-exclusion
- Varying sparsity pattern



Unfolded SBL Can unfold the SBL iterations E-step: computes the posterior; custom layer erparams; dense network Α $y_1 \ y_2 \ y_3$ $x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3$ 10 ⁰ Х = 10 -1 **BSWB** 10⁻² M-SBL (100 Iterations) 10 -3 SBL (100 Iterations) L-SBL (NW-1) with 12 layers L-SBL (NW-2) with 12 layers 4 7 8 Cardinality of True Solution

Sparse recovery performance Time-varying support (arbitrary pattern) [R. J. Peter and M., ArXiv 2019]

Summary

- Sparsity-aware Bayesian inference:
 - Superior guarantees translating to excellent performance
 - Ultra-fast algorithms and simple updates
 - Versatile framework
- Many opportunities to innovate!
- Reference: G. Joseph, S. Khanna, C. R. Murthy, R. Prasad,
 S. S. Thoota, "Sparsity-aware Bayesian inference and its applications," Handbook of Statistics, Elsevier, 2022.

Acknowledgements

 \mathfrak{M}









Geethu Joseph

Saurabh Khanna

Ranjitha Prasad Vinuthna Vinjamuri



Lekshmi Ramesh Arunkumar K. P.





Dheeraj Prasanna

Sai Thoota

Thank you!





Contact: cmurthy@iisc.ac.in

